

GERRY WHITE



RECIPIENT NAME

Street Address

Address 2

City, ST ZIP Code



GERRY WHITE

June 22, 2006

Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex W)
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

Re: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing this letter because I am concerned about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. I believe that in its present form, it could prevent me from continuing as a Neways International distributor. I understand that part of the FTC's responsibilities is to protect the public from "unfair and deceptive acts or practices," yet some of the sections in the proposed rule will make it very difficult, if not impossible, for me to sell Neways products.

I have been a Neways Distributor for more than 12 years. Originally, I became a distributor in my company because I believed the products were exceptional and I wanted to earn some additional income. Now, I am retired and my family is partially supported through my direct selling business. The future of my family is dependent on the stability of the direct selling industry.

One of the most confusing and burdensome sections of the proposed rule is the seven-day waiting period to enroll new distributors. Neways sales kit only costs \$25. People buy TV's cars, and other items that cost much more and they do not have to wait seven days. This waiting period gives the impression that there might be something wrong with the company or the compensation plan. I also think this seven-day waiting period is unnecessary, because Neways already has a 90% buyback policy for all products including sales kits purchased by a salesperson within the last thirty-days. Under this waiting period requirement, I will need to keep very detailed records when I first speak to someone about Neways and will then need to send in many reports to my company headquarters.

The proposed rule also calls for the release of **any** information regarding lawsuits involving misrepresentation, or unfair or deceptive practices. It does not matter if the company was found innocent. Today, anyone or any company can be sued for almost anything. It does not make sense to me that I would have to disclose these lawsuits unless Neways is found guilty. Otherwise, Neways and I are put at an unfair advantage even though Neways has done **nothing** wrong.

Finally, the proposed rule requires the disclosure of a minimum of 10 prior purchases nearest to the prospective purchaser. I am glad to provide references, but in this day of identity theft, I am very uncomfortable giving out the personal information of individuals (without their approval) to strangers.

GERRY WHITE

Also, giving away this information could damage the business relationship of the references who may be involved in other companies or business including those of competitors. In order to get the list of the 10 prior purchases, I will need to send the address of the prospective purchaser to Neways headquarters and then wait for the list. I also think the following sentence required by the proposed rule will prevent many people from wanting to sign up as a salesperson - "If you buy a business opportunity from the seller, your contact information can be disclosed in the future to other buyers." People are very concerned about their privacy and identity theft. They will be reluctant to share their personal information with individuals they may have never met.

I appreciate the work that the FTC does to protect consumers, yet I believe this proposed new rule has many unintended consequences and there are less burdensome alternatives available to achieving your goals.

Thank you for your time in considering my comments.

Respectfully,

Gerry White